

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/073,669	NOBLE ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Mary J. Steelman	2191	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Pending

(1) Mary J. Steelman.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Christian A. Nicholes, Reg. No. 50,266.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 8 June 2006

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Examiner clarified that amendment to claim 1 was intended to include up to three "computer readable storage medium". Drawings (FIG. 1) support this. Specification (page 9, lines 5-30) also provide support.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)